

# **AGENDA OVERVIEW**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**June 12-13, 2002  
San Bernardino, California**

---

### **Wednesday, June 12, 2002**

**2:00 p.m.**

**Inland Empire Transportation Agencies Roundtable with the  
California Transportation Commission**

Arrowhead Country Club  
3433 Parkside Avenue  
San Bernardino, California

### **Thursday, June 13, 2002**

**8:00 a.m. to  
4:15 p.m. (Estimated)**

**Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)**

San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors  
Board Chambers  
County Government Center  
385 North Arrowhead Avenue  
San Bernardino, California

---

**NOTICE:** All times indicated on this agenda are estimates only. Agenda items may be moved unless indicated by the notation "TIMED ITEM."

---

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

<u>Tab # / Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Presenter</u>	<u>Status*</u>	
<b><u>GENERAL BUSINESS (1-4)</u></b>				
8:00 am 1	• Roll Call	Dianne McKenna	I	C
2	• Approval of Minutes for May 9, 2002 Meeting	Dianne McKenna	A	C
3	• Commission Reports	Dianne McKenna	A	C
4	• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	Dianne McKenna	A	C
<b><u>BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT</u></b>				
8:20 am 5	• Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary	John Ferrera	I	B
<b><u>CALTRANS REPORT (6-10)</u></b>				
6	• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	Bob Garcia	I	D
7	• Review of Governor's Budget May Revise	Bob Garcia Dave Saxby	I	D
8	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2001-02 Finance Report (3.1)	Dave Saxby	I	D
9	• Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report for 2001-02 Fiscal Year (3.7)	Norma Ortega	I	D
10	• Caltrans FY 2002-03 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter Delivery Report (3.4)	Carl Haack	I	D
<b><u>REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT</u></b>				
8:55 am 11	• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	Dean Delgado	I	R
12	• Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	Celia McAdam	I	R

9:05 am		<b><u>INFORMATION CALENDAR (13-24)</u></b>	Stephen Maller	I	C
13	IC	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded (3.2)		I	D
14	IC	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action (3.3)		I	D
		• Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority (2.5f.)			
15	IC	-- Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Three projects totaling \$750,000. (2.5f.(1))		I	D
16	IC	-- Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations. One project in Yolo County for \$451,000. (2.5f.(3))		I	D
17	IC	-- SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. Seven projects totaling \$49,630,000. (2.5f.(4))		I	D
18	IC	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations. 24 projects totaling \$16,020,000. (2.5f.(5))		I	D
19	IC	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations. Six projects totaling \$729,000. (2.5f.(6))		I	D
20	IC	-- Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations. Three projects totaling \$51,000. (2.5f.(8))		I	D
21	IC	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Activities: G-01-06 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$302,000. (2.5f.(9))		I	D
22	IC	• Second Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA "Use It Or Lose It" Balance Report (3.5)		I	D
23	IC	• Third Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA "Use It Or Lose It" Balance Report (3.6)		I	D
24	IC	• Status Report: Traffic Congestion Relief Act Exchange Program Allocations and Progress Reports Pursuant to Resolutions G-01-11 and TE-01-01 (3.8)		I	D

9:10 am	<b><u>CONSENT CALENDAR (25- 49)</u></b>	Robert Chung	<b>A</b>	<b>C</b>
25	<b>CC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-001 Reprograms \$70,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds for the Coast Route Bike Path project in Santa Barbara County from the construction to the design component in FY 2002-03, and reprograms the remaining \$1,162,000 of RIP funds in the construction component from FY 2002-03 to FY 2003-04. The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment. (2.1a.(1))</li> </ul> <b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b> (2.3) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two Route Adoptions (2.3a.)</li> </ul>		<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>
26	<b>CC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Route Adoption of a Highway location for Route 299 along Lake Boulevard in the City of Redding and County of Shasta from Route 273 at Lake Boulevard to Interstate 5. (2.3a.(1)) Resolution HRA 02-03</li> </ul>		<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>
27	<b>CC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Route Redesignation of Route 273 to Route 273/299 from Route 299 at Market Street to Route 273 at Lake Boulevard in the City of Redding and County of Shasta. And Redesignation of Route 299 to Route 44 from Route 299 at Market Street to Interstate 5. (2.3a.(2)) Resolution HRR 02-01</li> </ul>		<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>
28	<b>CC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seven Relinquishment Resolutions (2.3c.)</li> <li>-- 04-Ala-880-PM 25.5/26.1 Route 880 in the City of Oakland Resolution R-3515</li> <li>-- 07-LA-2-PM 3.9/5.9 Route 2 in the City of Los Angeles Resolution R-3516</li> <li>-- 08-Riv-86,111-PM 17.3/21.5,24.5/T30.0 Route 86,111 in the City of Coachella Resolution R-3519</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30-PM 9.6/9.9 Route 30 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3520</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30-PM 9.4/9.9 Route 30 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3521</li> <li>-- 12-Ora-90-KP 12.87/16.25 Route 90 in the City of Yorba Linda Resolution R-3522</li> <li>-- 12-Ora-90-KP 16.25/18.91 Route 90 in the City of Yorba Linda Resolution R-3523</li> </ul>		<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>

**Highway Right of Way Matters**

- |    |    |  |   |   |
|----|----|--|---|---|
| 29 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 51 Resolutions of Necessity (2.4b.(1))<br/>Resolutions C-18532 Through C-18565; C-18567 Through C-18581; and C-18584 Through C-18585</li> </ul> | A | D |
| 30 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four Resolutions of Necessity (2.4b.(2))<br/>Resolution C-18582, C-18583, C-18586, and C-18587</li> <li>• Director's Deeds (2.4d.)</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 31 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Five Director's Deeds<br/>Items #1 through #5 (2.4d.(1))<br/>Excess Lands – Return to State: \$1,502,180<br/>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>  | A | D |

**Highway Financial Matters**

- |    |    |   |   |   |
|----|----|---|---|---|
| 32 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocations for 28 Minor Projects totaling \$12,320,000 plus \$4,433,120 from other sources. (2.5a.)<br/>Resolution FP-01-84</li> <li>• Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects on the State Highway System (2.5b.)</li> </ul> | A | D |
| 33 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- 15 STIP Projects On the State Highway System totaling \$148,166,000 plus \$15,263,000 from other sources. (2.5b.(1))<br/>Resolution FP-01-85</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 34 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- 20 SHOPP Projects totaling \$76,800,000. (2.5b.(2))<br/>Resolution FP-01-86</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 35 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Six SHOPP Managed TEA Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$2,774,000 plus \$555,000 from other sources. (2.5b.(4))<br/>Resolution FP-01-88</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 36 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Four STIP Projects On the State Highway System totaling \$29,459,000. (2.5b.(5))<br/>Resolution FP-01-89</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 37 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Seven Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) projects totaling \$1,715,385 plus \$727,470 from other sources. (2.5c.(3))<br/>Resolution FP-01-93</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 38 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Project in Yolo County for \$1,253,000. (2.5c.(5))<br/>Resolution FP-01-94</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 39 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$2,108,000 plus \$858,000 from other sources. (2.5c.(7))<br/>Resolution FP-01-96</li> </ul>  | A | D |

- |    |    |  |   |   |
|----|----|--|---|---|
| 40 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fiscal Year 2001-02 Financial Transfer of Funds from Capital Outlay Highway Funds to Capital Outlay Office Building Funds for Programmed Projects totaling \$17,000. (2.5o.) Resolution FM-01-09</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 41 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for one STIP project on the State Highway System on Route 680 in Contra Costa County for \$32,696,000. (2.5p.) Resolution FP-01-102</li> <li>• Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects (2.6a.)</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 42 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for Four Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects for \$4,538,000. (2.6a.(1)) Resolution MFP-01-20</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 43 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for Two STIP Intercity Rail Projects for \$2,000,000. (2.6a.(2)) Resolution MFP-01-21</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 44 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for One STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$1,142,000 for Reporters Bus Fleet expansion for City of Baldwin Park. (Request for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002.) (2.6a.(4)) Resolution MFP-01-23</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 45 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for One STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$500,000 for North Main Corona Station parking structure in Riverside County. (2.6a.(5)) Resolution MFP-01-24</li> </ul>   | A | D |
| 46 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposition 116 Allocation Amendment – <u>Council of San Benito County of Governments</u> – Four 25-30 Passenger Vehicles PPNO: 05-1024, EA: T0646B requests to de-allocate Proposition 116 funds in cost savings in the amount of \$17,715. (2.6c.) Resolution BFA-01-09</li> </ul>  | A | D |
| 47 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects – for purchase of Two 8-passenger ADA Compliant Vans for \$93,426 for Council of San Benito County of Governments – PPNO: 05-1024, 05-1024B, EA: T0646B, T0646C for San Benito County Transit. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under (2.6c.)) (2.6b.) Resolution BFP-01-07</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Aeronautics Financial Matters</u></b> (2.7)</p> | A | D |
| 48 | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allocation of \$72,000 for FY 2001-02 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for one project from the 2002 Aeronautics Program. (2.7) Resolution FDOA-2002-6</li> <li>-- City of Willits – Willits Municipal Airport in Mendocino County \$72,000 –Overlay runway</li> </ul>   | A | D |

**Policy Matter**

- 49 CC • Renewal of the Wireless Licensing Program (4.9) A D  
Resolution G-02-\_\_\_\_, Replacing Resolution G-98-07

9:15 am **ACTION AND INFORMATION ITEMS (50-112)**

**Program Amendments/Project Approvals and Allocations – STIP Amendments for Action (50-56)**

- 50 • STIP Amendment 02S-002 David Brewer A D  
Programs \$25,198,000 from Stanislaus County's Unprogrammed Share Balance towards a \$33,478,000 widening project on Route 219 in Stanislaus County. In addition, this amendment reprograms \$4,100,000 of existing Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2006-07 to partially fund construction of the Route 132 Expressway (PPNO 0944M) in Stanislaus County to accommodate cash flow needs for both projects. The Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG) and the Department are requesting this amendment. (2.1a.(2))

9:20 am **Sacramento County STIP Amendment and Allocation (51 – 52)**

- 51 • STIP Amendment 02S-003 David Brewer A D  
Programs \$4,325,000 as an advance from Sacramento County's Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds towards two new multi-funded projects: \$2,700,000 in FY 2002-03 for a Traffic Operation System (TOS) project on I-5 and \$1,625,000 in FY 2003-04 for a TOS project on I-80. The Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) and the Department are requesting this amendment. (Concurrent Allocations under 2.5b.(1) Project #1 and 2.5b.(3) Project #4.) (2.1a.(3))
- 52 -- Four SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP by David Brewer A D  
Departmental action totaling \$6,400,000. (2.5b.(3))  
Resolution FP-01-87

9:30 am **Plumas County STIP Amendment and Allocation (53-54)**

- 53 • STIP Amendment 02S-004 David Brewer A D  
Programs \$400,000 from Plumas County's Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2002-03 towards a \$3,360,000 project to perform bridge rehabilitation on two parallel bridges. The Plumas County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent Allocation under (2.5c.(6)).) (2.1a.(4))
- 54 -- One Local Assistance STIP Project Off the State Highway David Brewer A D  
System in Plumas County for \$400,000. (Concurrent STIP Amendment under 2.1a.(4)). (2.5c.(6))  
Resolution FP-01-95

**9:35 am Ventura County STIP Amendment and Allocation (55-56)**

- |           |  |              |          |          |
|-----------|--|--------------|----------|----------|
| <b>55</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-005</li> </ul> <p>Splits a park and ride lot expansion project at the Camarillo Station into two projects and advances a portion of the \$4,677,000 Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds programmed in FY 2005-06: \$1,750,000 to construct a portion of the park and ride lot in FY 2002-03; and \$2,927,000 to complete the remainder of the park and ride lot in FY 2005-06. There is no change in overall scope or cost of the originally programmed project. The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.5c.(9).) (2.1a.(5))</p> | David Brewer | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>56</b> | <p>-- One Local Assistance <u>STIP Advancement Project Off the State Highway System in Ventura County for \$1,750,000.</u> (Requests for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2005-06.)<br/> <u>Note: (Approving Allocation requests for projects programmed in future fiscal years will exceed the programming capacity adopted in the STIP Fund Estimate and will affect the Commission's ability to fund currently programmed projects.)</u><br/>         (2.5c.(9))<br/>         Resolution FP-01-98</p>   | David Brewer | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |

**9:40 am Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice and Waiver Extension (57-63)**

- |           |   |              |          |          |
|-----------|---|--------------|----------|----------|
| <b>57</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-006</li> </ul> <p>Programs \$90,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FYs 2002-03 and 2003-04 from Sierra County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for a project to replace an existing culvert with a bridge. The Sierra County Local Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. (2.1b.(1))</p>   | David Brewer | <b>I</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>58</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-007</li> </ul> <p>Programs \$4,013,000 from Lake County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for five new local road rehabilitation and reconstruction, and bikeway and bridge construction projects: \$839,000 in FY 2002-03, \$182,000 in FY 2003-04, \$1,709,000 in FY 2004-05, and \$1,283,000 in FY 2005-06. The Lake County/City Area Planning Council is requesting this amendment. (2.1b.(2))</p> | David Brewer | <b>I</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>59</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-008</li> </ul> <p>Programs \$3,935,000 from Tehama County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for twenty-three projects: \$36,000 in FY 2002-03; \$235,000 in FY 2004-05; \$3,219,000 in FY 2005-06; and \$445,000 in FY 2006-07. The Tehama County Transportation Commission (TCTC) is requesting this amendment. (2.1b.(3))</p>  | David Brewer | <b>I</b> | <b>D</b> |



- |   |   |              |   |   |
|---|---|--------------|---|---|
| 60  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• STIP Amendment 02S-009</li></ul> Programs \$5,114,000 from Colusa County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for eighteen new local road rehabilitation and reconstruction projects: \$2,748,000 in FY 2002-03 and \$2,366,000 in FY 2003-04. The Colusa County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. (2.1b.(4))   | David Brewer | I | D |
| 9:55 am <b>Los Angeles County Extension Request and STIP Amendment Notice (61-63)</b> |   |              |   |   |
| 61  | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for seven STIP projects in Los Angeles County, totaling \$25,503,000. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1b. (5).) (2.8a.(3)) Waiver 02-23  | David Brewer | A | D |
| 62  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• STIP Amendment 02S-010</li></ul> Combines two existing projects on Route 90 and programs \$4,417,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FY 2003-04 towards construction cost increase of the combined project for a revised total project cost of \$17,666,000. This amendment also programs a total of \$6,462,000 in RIP funds in FY 2003-04 towards construction cost increases to three existing projects on Route 1 for a revised total cost of \$18,363,000. The Department of Transportation (Department) and Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) are requesting this amendment. (Concurrent Time Extension Action under 2.8a.(3).) (2.1b.(5)) | David Brewer | I | D |
| 63  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• STIP Amendment 02S-011</li></ul> Revises programming for various 2002 STIP (State Transportation Improvement Program) projects in Los Angeles County as follows: no net change to the county shares in FY 2002-03; a net increase of \$1,489,000 in FY 2003-04; a net reduction of \$1,477,000 in FY 2004-05; no net change in FY 2005-06 and a net reduction of \$12,000 in FY 2006-07. The amendment results in no change to the overall county shares. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. (Related item under 2.1c.(2).) (2.1b.(6))   | David Brewer | I | D |

**10:10 am    Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments and Status Reports (64-68)**

- |           |  |                            |          |            |
|-----------|--|----------------------------|----------|------------|
| <b>64</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Three application amendments; no new funding. (2.1c.(2))<br/>Resolution TAA-02-06, Amending Resolutions TAA-01-03 and TA-01-09</li> <li>-- Project #41.1 – Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County. Amend application to designate this subproject for the construction of the HOV lanes with mitigating soundwalls from Route 118 to Route 14, and update project schedule and financial plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-09. The Department is requesting this amendment. (Related item under 2.1b.(6).)</li> <li>-- Project #41.2 - Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County. Amend application to designate this subproject for the construction of the HOV lanes from Route 170 to Route 118, and update project schedule and financial plan. Original application approved under Resolution TA-01-09. The Department is requesting this amendment. (Related item under 2.1b.(6).)</li> <li>-- Project #16.2 – Route 4; construct one or more phases of improvements to widen freeway to eight lanes from Railroad through Loveridge Road. Amend application for redistribution of funds. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-00-03, as amended by Resolution TAA-01-03. Contra Costa Transportation Authority is requesting this amendment.</li> </ul> | Debbie Mah<br>Robert Chung | <b>A</b> | <b>C/D</b> |
| <b>65</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. Two applications totaling \$6,046,000. (2.1c.(1))<br/>Resolution TA-02-09</li> <li>-- Project #64.1 – \$5,000,000 for All Phases, for Route 91; improve the Green River Interchange and add auxiliary lane and connector ramp east of the Green River Interchange to northbound Route 71 in Riverside County.<br/>Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Department)<br/>Implementing Agency: Department</li> <li>-- Project #32.5 – \$1,046,000 for Phases 1, 2, 4, for North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (e) environmental remediation projects<br/>Applicant Agency: North Coast Railroad Authority (NCRA)<br/>Implementing Agency: NCRA<br/>Advance Requested: \$331,000</li> </ul>  | Debbie Mah<br>Robert Chung | <b>A</b> | <b>C/D</b> |

66	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations. One project allocation for \$1,046,000. (2.6e.) Resolution TFP-02-06</li> <li>-- \$1,046,000 – Project #32.5, North Coast Railroad: Sub-paragraph (e) environmental remediation projects. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(1).)</li> </ul>	Debbie Mah Robert Chung	A	C/D
67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-01-27. Four project allocations totaling \$17,800,000 for TCR funds and one project allocation for \$48,563,000 for STIP funds (Project #85). (2.6f.)</li> </ul>	Debbie Mah	I	C/D
68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bi-Annual Report for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program and Approval of Upcoming Six-Month Delegated Allocations for TCR and STIP Projects (3.9) Resolution G-02-____</li> </ul>	Debbie Mah	A	C/D
10:40 am	<b><u>Project Business – Adjustments to Budget Authority (69-76)</u></b>			
69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fiscal Year 2001-02 Exchange \$29,000,000 of Capital Outlay State Funds for Local Assistance State Funds to meet Program funding needs. (2.5n.) Resolution FM-01-08</li> <li>• Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions (2.9)</li> </ul>	Dave Saxby	A	D
70	-- Resolution FP-00-91, originally approved on June 6, 2001, in the amount of \$35,038,000 for forty (40) Local Regional Transportation Improvement projects and one (1) Local Interregional Transportation Improvement project. Project Number 32 for \$860,000. Revise State Highway System project, listed above, to correct funding code from 2660-101-0042 to 2660-301-0042; and from 2660-101-0890 to 2660-301-0890. (2.9a.)	Dave Saxby	A	D
71	-- Resolution FP-01-05, originally approved on July 12, 2001, for \$5,845,000 for three (3) Local Regional Transportation Improvement projects and one (1) Interregional Improvement project, Project Number 2, for \$4,000,000. Revise State Highway System project, listed above, to correct funding code from 2660-101-0042 to 2660-301-0042; and from 2660-101-0890 to 2660-301-0890. (2.9b.)	Dave Saxby	A	D
72	-- Resolution FP-01-25, originally approved on October 4, 2001, for \$31,700,000 for two (2) Regional Transportation Improvement projects and one (1) Interregional Transportation Improvement project, Project Number 1 for \$4,700,000; Project Number 2 for \$12,500,000; and Project Number 3 for \$14,500,000. Revise State Highway System projects, listed above, to correct funding code from 2660-101-0042 to 2660-301-0042; and from 2660-101-0890 to 2660-301-0890. (2.9c.)	Dave Saxby	A	D

- |          |   |              |   |   |
|----------|---|--------------|---|---|
| 73       | -- Resolution FP-01-34, originally approved on November 8, 2001, for \$19,069,000 for one (1) Local Interregional Transportation Improvement project. Revise State Highway System project, listed above, to correct funding code from 2660-101-0042 to 2660-301-0042; and from 2660-101-0890 to 2660-301-0890. (2.9d.)  | Dave Saxby   | A | D |
| 74       | -- 22 Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$31,973,000 plus \$82,472,000 from other sources. <u>Note: (Project allocations are contingent upon the approval of Resolution FM-01-08 (2.5n.) by the CTC and, if necessary, securing additional Budget Authority approval from the Department of Finance.) (2.5c.(1))</u><br>Resolution FP-01-91  | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
| 75       | -- One Local Assistance STIP Project On the State Highway System on Routes 120, 132, 140, and 395 in Merced County for \$1,030,000. <u>Note: (Project allocations are contingent upon the approval of Resolution FM-01-08 (2.5n.) by the CTC and, if necessary, securing additional Budget Authority approval from the Department of Finance.) (2.5c.(2))</u><br>Resolution FP 01-92  | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
| 76       | -- One Local Assistance <u>STIP Advancement Project</u> On the State Highway System on Route 1 in San Luis Obispo County for \$350,000 plus \$350,000 from other sources. <u>Note: (Project allocations are contingent upon the approval of Resolution FM-01-08 (2.5n.) by the CTC and, if necessary, securing additional Budget Authority approval from the Department of Finance.) (2.5c.(8))</u><br>Resolution FP-01-97  | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
| 11:20 am | • Financial Allocations for Local Assistance STIP Projects On and Off the State Highway System (2.5c.)  |              |   |   |
| 77       | -- One Local Assistance <u>STIP Advancement Project</u> Off the State Highway System in Modoc County for \$735,000. Requests for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002. (Requests for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2005-06. <u>Note: (Approving Allocation requests for projects programmed in future fiscal years will exceed the programming capacity adopted in the STIP Fund Estimate and will affect the Commission's ability to fund currently programmed projects.) (2.5c.(10))</u><br>Resolution FP-01-99 | Jim Nicholas | A | D |

**11:25 am    Allocation to Projects Exceeding 20% of Programmed Amount and Supplemental Votes (78-79)**

- |           |  |              |               |
|-----------|--|--------------|---------------|
| <b>78</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent of their Programmed Amount – Nine Projects totaling \$100,434,000 plus \$10,010,000 from other sources. (2.5d.) Resolution FP-01-100</li><li>-- 01-DN-101 – STIP Project in Del Norte County on State Route 101. Current programmed value of \$1,417,000 and current estimate of \$1,854,000, for a 31% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 04-Ala-80 – STIP Project in Alameda County on Interstate 80. Current programmed value of \$383,000 and current estimate of \$521,000, for a 36% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 04-Ala-580 – STIP Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties on Interstate 580. Current programmed value of \$111,000 and current estimate of \$163,000, for a 47% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 04-Ala-80 – STIP Project in Alameda County on Interstate 80. Current programmed value of \$2,595,000 and current estimate of \$4,895,000, for a 89% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 04-SCI-87 – STIP Project in Santa Clara County on State Route 87. Current programmed value of \$13,938,000 and current estimate of \$18,852,000, for a 35% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 07-LA-10 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County along Interstate 10. Current programmed value of \$1,195,000 and current estimate of \$2,294,000, for a 92% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 07-LA-91 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County along State Route 91. Current programmed value of \$1,901,000 and current estimate of \$3,195,000, for a 68% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 07-LA-60 – STIP Project in Los Angeles County on State Route 60. Current programmed value of \$51,436,000 and current estimate of \$64,886,000, for a 26% increase over programmed amount.</li><li>-- 07-LA-134 – STIP Project in Los Angeles County on State Route 134. Current programmed value of \$2,944,000 and current estimate of \$3,774,000, for a 28% increase over programmed amount.</li></ul> | Jim Nicholas | <b>A    D</b> |
|-----------|--|--------------|---------------|

- |    |  |              |   |   |
|----|--|--------------|---|---|
| 79 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects – Two Projects totaling \$2,896,000. (2.5e.) Resolution FA-01-12</li> </ul> | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
|----|--|--------------|---|---|

-- 04-Sol-113 – SHOPP Project in Solano County on State Route 113. Original vote and current allocation \$3,104,000. This request of \$1,396,000 to allow advertising, for an increase of 45% over the original vote amount.

-- 08-Riv-60 – STIP & SHOPP Project in Riverside County on State Route 60. Original vote \$31,557,000. Current allocation \$32,628,000. This request of \$1,500,000 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 16% over the original award amount.

**11:35 am Financial Allocations and Adjustments (80-84)**

- |    |   |              |   |   |
|----|---|--------------|---|---|
| 80 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One STIP Project On the State Highway System on Route 210 in San Bernardino County for \$34,021,000. (2.5b.(6)) Resolution FP-01-90</li> </ul> | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
|----|---|--------------|---|---|

- |    |  |              |   |   |
|----|--|--------------|---|---|
| 81 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project. One project for \$137,000. (2.5g.) Resolution FP-01-101</li> </ul> | Jim Nicholas | A | D |
|----|--|--------------|---|---|

- |    |   |            |   |   |
|----|---|------------|---|---|
| 82 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Adjustment for the San-Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge project for \$237,058,252. Exchange Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit funds for a like amount of Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation funds totaling \$237,058,252. Total funding remains unchanged at \$1,107,000,000. (2.5h.) Resolution FA-01-13</li> </ul> | Dave Saxby | A | D |
|----|---|------------|---|---|

- |    |  |            |   |   |
|----|--|------------|---|---|
| 83 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Adjustment of \$20,000,000 to the FY 1996-97 Capital Outlay Support Allocation for the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program. Replace \$20,000,000 of Proposition 192 Capital Outlay Support with an equal amount of Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Account funds. No net change to allocation. (2.5i.) Resolution FA-01-14</li> </ul> | Dave Saxby | A | D |
|----|--|------------|---|---|

- |    |   |            |   |   |
|----|---|------------|---|---|
| 84 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Adjustment of \$20,000,000 for the Benicia-Martinez Bridge project. Replace \$20,000,000 of Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Account funds with an equal amount of Proposition 192 funds. Total funding remains unchanged at \$118,800,000. (2.5j.) Resolution FA-01-15</li> </ul> | Dave Saxby | A | D |
|----|---|------------|---|---|

**11:55 am Right of Way and Local Assistance Issues (85-88)**

- |    |   |                 |   |   |
|----|---|-----------------|---|---|
| 85 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Close-Out Report on FY 2001-02 Right-of-Way Program (See Related Agenda Items 2.5k. and 2.5l.) (3.10)</li> </ul> | Bimla Rhinehart | I | D |
|----|---|-----------------|---|---|

- |    |  |                 |   |   |
|----|--|-----------------|---|---|
| 86 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supplemental Fiscal Year 2001-02 Right of Way Allocation for \$22,000,000. (2.5k.) Resolution FM-01-05</li> </ul> | Bimla Rhinehart | A | D |
|----|--|-----------------|---|---|

- |          |   |                 |          |          |
|----------|---|-----------------|----------|----------|
| 87       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fiscal Year 2002-03 Right of Way Allocation for \$185,000,000. (2.5l.) Resolution FM-01-06</li> </ul>  | Bimla Rhinehart | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 88       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fiscal Year 2002-03 Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation for \$1,079,490,000. (2.5m.) Resolution FM-01-07</li> </ul>   | Norma Ortega    | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 12:15 pm | <b><u>LUNCH BREAK</u></b>   |                 |          |          |
| 1:00 pm  | <b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>  |                 |          |          |
| 89       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One Resolution of Necessity – Appearances (2.4a.)</li> <li>-- Edward Titus, et al. 10-Cal-04-KP 20.6 Resolution C-18533</li> </ul>   | Linda Fong      | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 1:30 pm  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Director's Deeds (2.4d.)</li> </ul>  |                 |          |          |
| 90       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Director's Deed for Oakland Housing Authority Item #6 (2.4d.(2)) Excess Lands – Return to State: \$2,400,000 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>   | Scott Atkins    | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 1:45 pm  | <b><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests (91-104)</u></b>   |                 |          |          |
| 91       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 33 local STIP projects for \$32,364,000. Project #30 for San Joaquin County is also requesting shifting of funds between components. (2.8a.(1)) Waiver-02-21</li> </ul>  | Stephen Maller  | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 92       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for one local STIP project for the City of Los Angeles for \$100,000. (2.8a.(2)) Waiver-02-22</li> </ul>   | Stephen Maller  | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 93       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for One STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$128,000 for Los Angeles County Mid-cities transit hubs. (Concurrent Time Extension request under 2.8a.(2)). (2.6a.(3)) Resolution MFP-01-22</li> </ul>   | Stephen Maller  | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 2:00 pm  |   |                 |          |          |
| 94       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for the construction component of one STIP project on Route 101 in Santa Barbara County (PPNO 0481), originally programmed for \$2,264,000 in FY 2001-02 and \$836,000 FY 2002-03. (2.8a(4)) Waiver 02-24</li> </ul> | Stephen Maller  | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| 95       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 18 months for the construction component of one STIP Intercity Rail Station project for the City of Oxnard (PPNO 9877), originally programmed in FY 2001-02 for \$1,081,000. (2.8a.(5)) Waiver-02-25</li> </ul>  | Stephen Maller  | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |

- |         |  |                |   |   |
|---------|--|----------------|---|---|
| 96      | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 12 months for the construction component of one STIP Intercity Rail project, for Bandini DT Junction Track project for the Pacific Surfliner Corridor (PPNO 2000), originally programmed in FY 2001-02 for \$5,700,000. (2.8a.(6))<br>Waiver-02-26 | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 2:15 pm |  |                |   |   |
| 97      | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 6 months for the construction element of one STIP Intercity Rail project, for the San Joaquin Corridor (PPNO 9074A), originally programmed in FY 2001-02 for \$21,289,000. (2.8a.(7))<br>Waiver-02-27  | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 98      | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for two local STIP projects for San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (PPNO 04-1035) and City of Santa Clarita (PPNO 07-2901) totaling \$223,000. (2.8a.(8))<br>Waiver-02-28   | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 99      | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for one local STIP project in the City of Glendale (PPNO 07-9814) for \$16,375,000. (2.8a.(9))<br>Waiver-02-34   | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 100     | -- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for nine local STIP projects for \$5,318,000. (2.8b.(1))<br>Waiver-02-29   | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 101     | -- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for two local STIP projects for the City of Inglewood (PPNO 07-2908) and City of South Pasadena (PPNO 07-2913) totaling \$1,060,000. (2.8b.(2))<br>Waiver-02-30  | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 2:45 pm |  |                |   |   |
| 102     | -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement for Two local STIP projects for City of Delano and Alameda Corridor-East Construction Authority for \$2,991,000. (2.8d.(1))<br>Waiver-02-31   | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 103     | -- Request to Extend the Period of Reimbursement for 12 months for the Right of Way and Design elements of one local TCI project for the City of Indio – Downtown Intermodal Center (PPNO 08-5131), originally allocated \$381,000 in FY 1998-99. (2.8d.(2))<br>Waiver-02-32                                 | Stephen Maller | A | D |
| 104     | -- Request to Extend the Period of Reimbursement for 18 months for one Proposition 116 project for Trinity County - Hayfork Park and Ride (PPNO 02-2165), originally allocated \$41,037 in FY 1999-00. (2.8e.)<br>Waiver 02-33   | Stephen Maller | A | D |



**3:00 pm     POLICY MATTERS (105-112)**

- |            |  |              |          |          |
|------------|--|--------------|----------|----------|
| <b>105</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• State Legislative Matters *</li><li>    -- Status of Bills   (4.1)</li></ul>   | Chuck Oldham | <b>A</b> | <b>C</b> |
| <b>106</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Notice of Technical Adjustment to the 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) (4.2)</li><li>Resolution G-02-____, Amending Resolution G-02-04</li></ul> | David Brewer | <b>I</b> | <b>C</b> |
| <b>107</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Amendment to AB 3090 Guidelines   (4.5)</li><li>Resolution G-02-____, Replacing Resolution G-93-08</li></ul>   | David Brewer | <b>A</b> | <b>C</b> |
- 3:20 pm**
- |            |   |                   |          |          |
|------------|---|-------------------|----------|----------|
| <b>108</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Presentation of the FY 2002-03 Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program   (4.4)</li></ul>   | Stephen Maller    | <b>I</b> | <b>C</b> |
| <b>109</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Six-Month Estimate of Loan Capacity Under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level   (4.3)</li><li>Resolution G-02-____</li></ul>  | Dave Saxby        | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>110</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• FY 2002-03 Airspace and Excess Land Business Plan   (4.6)</li></ul>   | Scott Atkins      | <b>I</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>111</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Workshop on the Safety Element of the 2002 Ten-Year State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) Plan (4.7)</li></ul>                                  | Jesse Bhullar     | <b>I</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <b>112</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Amend the Capital Improvement Plan Element of the California Aviation System Plan for Three Airports: Sonoma County, Bishop, and Westover Field   (4.8)</li></ul> | R. Austin Wiswell | <b>A</b> | <b>D</b> |

**113     OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT (6.)****4:15 pm     ADJOURN**